

CA 162
(1)
(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF CHHATTISGARH,BILASPUR (C.G.)

Civil Revision No.:- 222 / 2004. **S.B.**

Single Bench

APPLICANT :-
(Plaintiff)

Smt.Beera Bai Age- 45 Yrs.
Wife of Shri Ramcharan,
D/O Late Sahaye Ram,
R/O Village:- Panaki, P.S. Baisathan,
Tahsil:- Surajpur,District:-Suruguja, (C.G.)

: V E R S U S :

RESPONDENTS :-
[Non- applicants]

1. **Niranjan,**S/O Ghuruwa, Aged - 65 Yrs,
2. **Chhunni Lal,** S/O Late Ram Prasad, Aged -35 Yrs,
3. **Rameshwar,** S/O Late Ram Prasad, Age-30 Yrs
4. **Munni Bai,** D/O Late Ram Prasad, Age-25 Yrs.

All the above Respondent No. 1 to 4 are:-
R/O Village:- Panaki,
Tahsil:- Surajpur,District:-Suruguja, (C.G.)

5. **STATE OF CHHATTISGARH.**
Through, The Collector,
District.:- Suruguja,(C.G.)

P.R. No. 2771/04
Presented by Shri J.P. Mehani
dated 27.12.04

24-12

-: MEMO OF CIVIL REVISION UNDER SECTION 115 OF CIVIL PROCEDURE CODE :-

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ...C.Rev.No. 222/04... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	07/03/2005 Shri J.A.Lohani, counsel for the applicant. Heard. The instant revision has been preferred by the applicant against the impugned order dated 06/12/2004 passed by Civil Judge Class II, Surajpur by which the application under Order 18 Rule 17 (a) of the CPC of the plaintiff /applicant was rejected by the trial Court. By the instant application, the applicant had prayed for opportunity of hearing evidence on the date when the case was fixed for judgment and the same has been rejected by the trial Court. In view of the proviso to Section 115 of CPC and in view of the above, the instant revision is not maintainable and accordingly, it is dismissed as not maintainable. Consequnelty, M.C.P. No. 1904/2004 stands disposed of. Sd/- DHIRENDRA MISHRA Judge	

(पीछे देखिये)